

Sunday, 11th March 2018

Ms Jenny Leong MP
Member for Newtown
383 King Street
Newtown NSW 2042

Dear Ms Leong

Re: *Corruption taking place at the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner and the Law Society of NSW*

I have given great thought to your E-mail to me of Friday, 9th March 2018. I remain disturbed at its tone.

Firstly, let me recount the single event of last week of which you speak, or even the week before. An event so uneventful, that I am unable to remember correctly the day it happened.

I crossed the road at Elizabeth Street, heading into Martin Place, walking in the direction of Macquarie Street. As I stepped on to the pavement I saw you. I walked a few steps and turned around and called your name. You looked at me and your face said 'I'm sorry, I'm in a hurry', so I motioned to you with my hand that it could wait, and if I remember correctly, I said to you "It doesn't matter".

However, you beckoned me to you, and I introduced myself and simply asked if I could have a response to the matter I had brought to you as my local member on a number of occasions. You stated that you would provide some form of response. It was a very short and polite and respectful conversation between a Member of Parliament and one of her constituents.

However, your E-mail to me of last Friday states:

"In response to you confronting me last week in Martin Place, I ask that you refrain from doing this in the future or engaging with our office about this matter any further."

As previously stated, I asked you a very simple question and you provided me with an equally simple answer. It could hardly be construed as a confrontation, however, it was the last part of your above sentence that intrigued me the most.

I first wrote to you on 21st April 2015 in relation to suspected corruption taking place within the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner and the Law Society of NSW. You did not provide any response to that E-mail.

I mentioned the above to a very good friend of mine who happens to be a member of The Greens. He sent an E-mail to another Greens Member, and then, having received an obvious prompt, you then provided a response. You claimed that your omission to respond to my original E-mail to you was an 'oversight'.

Further to this exchange, I proceeded to provide you with unequivocal evidence of the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner having covered up serious solicitor misconduct, with such solicitor misconduct involving solicitor fraud.

Before the Christmas and New Year holiday just passed, and pursuant to having received a telephone call from one of your staff members, I hand delivered to your office a letter I had written to Mr John McKenzie, the NSW Legal Services Commissioner, of 28th November 2017.

Again, I received no further communications from your office. Such letter of late November 2017 provides a direct indication of wrongdoing taking place within the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner. Please find **attached** a copy of that letter to the Commissioner of 28th November 2017.

Due to your continued silence in relation to this matter, on 23rd February 2018, I wrote a further E-mail to you attaching two more letters to the Legal Services Commissioner of 20th December 2017 and 19th February 2018, again, providing evidence of wrongdoing emanating from that office, and also pointing to wrongdoing on the part of the Commissioner himself. Again, no response was received from either you or your office. Find **attached** a copy of those two letters of 20th December 2017 and 19th February 2018.

In brief, the situation is thus: Terence Goldberg of Turner Freeman Lawyers acted in court proceedings for four plaintiffs. The Honourable Justice Bergin, then Chief Judge in Equity, made one Order only, with that Order being that each party was to pay their own costs in relation to such proceedings. Her Honour's Order prohibited any plaintiff from suing any defendant for the costs of those proceedings.

Terence Goldberg, in an application to the Court for his legal costs, falsely claims to have acted for Enmore Spiritualist Church Incorporated, and therefore fraudulently created a debt against the church. Enmore Spiritualist Church Incorporated was the sixth defendant in the abovementioned proceedings and was in fact an unrepresented party. Very clearly, Terence Goldberg's statement to the contrary is improper. Mr Goldberg, while making the equally false claim that the church was his client, went on to fraudulently sue the church for his legal costs.

Pursuant to having created this false debt, a long-time acquaintance of Terence Goldberg, ie a Mr Jon Lindsay, voted to wind up the church and the church premises, 2 London Street,

Enmore, was sold for the sum of \$965,000.00 to pay such debt. Terence Goldberg's law firm, Turner Freeman, was paid in full to the amount of \$188,303.60 on 20th December 2012.

Despite his law firm having been paid in full of the fraudulent debt claimed, Mr Goldberg, on 29th May 2014, attended a meeting of creditors at the office of Jones Partners, the liquidator, and discussed the creation of a new entity. Terence Goldberg was the only attendee at this 'meeting of creditors'. Very obviously, Mr Goldberg was not a creditor, and also such meeting was invalid due to the attendance of only one person (see section 5.6.16 of the Corporations Regulations).

The abovementioned new entity is a sham entity based in a one-bedroom housing commission flat. This sham entity resides at the given home address of the aforementioned Jon Lindsay, and the substantial residual monies belonging to the church have now found their way to that address.

As you can see, the multiple frauds are obvious. The above is a précis of what took place, with direct evidence of all the above having been provided to both the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner and to the Law Society, with both of those offices stating that Terence Goldberg acted for the four plaintiffs **and** the sixth defendant in the same proceedings, despite such not being legally possible, and with such also being statute barred.

For whatever reason, the Law Society appears untouchable, with Members of Parliament, such as yourself, also turning a very distinct blind eye to wrongdoing. This is not the first time I have received such treatment.

In your E-mail to me of last Friday, you mention that I had in the past contacted David Shoebridge MLC on this matter, however, he, too, turned a very distinct blind eye to the wrongdoings of the Law Society of NSW. Mr Shoebridge is a barrister, and would clearly and obviously be aware that a solicitor cannot act for both and opposing parties in proceedings, and he would know that the statement as made by the Law Society and by the OLSC would be false. Mr Shoebridge would also very clearly understand the fraud that was committed.

I also approached Penny Sharpe MLC, and while Ms Sharpe was initially helpful, Ms Sharpe sometime later in the matter telephoned me and stated: "*The Law Society is a law unto itself*". Like yourself and David Shoebridge, Penny Sharpe now also refuses to deal with the matters raised.

Lest there be any doubt regarding the allegations of fraud as well as the allegations of a covering up of such fraud, I refer you to the attachments to this letter, which, of course, you have already received and have no doubt already read.

I come back to your claim that I confronted you in Martin Place. As laid out in the header of this letter, this is not my recollection of the event, and I believe on you remembering the facts correctly, you will see the encounter for what it actually was.

The bizarre aspect of this matter is that whenever this topic is raised, wild accusations are made, such as yours. I have received a direct threat from the Law Society, and you will see from my letter to the Legal Services Commissioner that I have received a not so veiled threat from the Commissioner himself.

Jon Lindsay (the person mentioned above) at one point wrote to the Australian Federal Police claiming that I had a lengthy criminal history in the UK. This statement was proven false by the AFP, and they stated that such claim by Mr Lindsay was either malicious or was the result of mental health issues.

Terence Goldberg commenced private APVO proceedings against me, again making all sorts of allegations, however, when in Court on 26th February 2016, Mr Goldberg refused to repeat his allegations and the matter proceeded on the premise that I was the supposed author of a website raising issues of misconduct against Mr Goldberg. Mr Goldberg left empty-handed, so to speak, with the author of such website remaining publicly unknown and with such website still in existence (www.terencegoldberg.com).

Turner Freeman then, on 17th February 2017, wrote a letter to another law firm making the false claims that I am a '*dangerous individual*' with '*a documented history of violence*' while also claiming that I partake in serious criminal conduct. A copy of that letter made its way to me, and a complaint was subsequently made to the Law Society of NSW about the solicitor who signed such letter, with the Law Society summarily dismissing all complaints about the solicitor in question and having carried out no investigation to speak of, with the Law Society refusing to explain why it dismissed such complaints.

It is of note that several requests were made to the Law Society in order to receive a copy of the said '*documented history of violence*', however, no documentation was forthcoming, nor indeed was any evidence forthcoming regarding any of the allegations made about me. The solicitor who signed that letter promptly resigned from the law firm.

So you see, I have been through the whole gamut, and your behaviour is nothing new to me. I have seen it all before. I have been the recipient of continued accusations and threats aplenty, but little or no action is taken. Such speaks volumes. It is clearly no coincidence that the only people who make allegations of wrongdoing against me are the very people who are directly involved in this matter.

Let me tell you what I think; I think that you can see the wrongdoing as clearly as everybody else, however you asking me to "*refrain from doing this in the future or engaging with our office about this matter any further*" is more about protecting and isolating yourself from the matter than anything else, and the possible fall out.

When such becomes publicly known, as it will do at some point, no doubt you will join the shocked onlookers claiming 'I knew nothing about this', while attempting to come out squeaky clean. Not so fast, Jenny. You do know, and you were told about this a very long time ago.

Also, your asking me to not bring this matter to your office's attention is simply extraordinary. I am your constituent and you are asking me to not bring matters of not only wrongdoing to your attention, but matters of abuse in public office, where the persons carrying out the wrongdoing are being paid by the public purse. This is an improper request by you on so many different levels.

I am sure you would agree that covering up corrupt conduct is as bad as corruption itself. It is actually your job to protect us from corrupt conduct. Do I need to remind you that you are a Member of the Honourable Parliament?

You sought from us, the public, the hallowed position that you now hold, and we entrusted you to carry out the duty that that position entails. Please stop shirking those duties, and simply do what we entrusted you to do, whether you like it or not.

I look forward to your response.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Symn Waters". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'S' and a distinct 'W'.

Symn Waters