

Symn Waters

From: Symn Waters
Sent: Friday, 31 August 2018 3:02 PM
To: 'dubbo@parliament.nsw.gov.au'
Cc: 'contactus@lecc.nsw.gov.au'; 'pscelu@police.nsw.gov.au'
Subject: Undue influence and interference
Attachments: 2012 11 16 - Letter to the Attorney General - conduct of the Law Society.pdf; 2017 03 13 - Letter to Commissioner Andrew Scipione - evidence of fraud.pdf; 2015 05 05 - Letter to NSW Police - allegation of fraud, Turner Freeman.pdf

Dear Minister for Police

I refer to the news article in today's Guardian, being "*NSW police anti-corruption head says minister tried to influence hiring*". I also refer to a matter brought to your personal attention on a number of occasions. Given the subject of alleged undue influence, the aforementioned news article has prompted me to write this E-mail to you.

I strongly believe that undue influence has also been used in the matter I previously brought to your attention, as well as from within other departments of high government. Neither you nor your department has provided any response to any of my communications regarding the fraudulent conduct of a NSW solicitor.

Terence Goldberg of Turner Freeman Lawyers made false and dishonest statements in an Application for Assessment of Solicitor/Client Costs in order to create a debt where no debt was owed. In such document, Terence Goldberg claims that he acted for the sixth defendant in Court proceedings, where in fact, Mr Goldberg acted for the four plaintiffs. The said sixth defendant, an incorporated association, was an unrepresented party in the said proceedings. Terence Goldberg, having used a false instrument to create a purported debt, then sued that sixth defendant to pay the legal costs of his four clients, breaching an Order of the Court in so doing.

Mr Goldberg then, in league with other parties, created a sham entity and diverted the remaining and majority of funds belonging to the said incorporated association to the given home address of the created sham entity, as headed by a long-time acquaintance of Mr Goldberg. There is no operating entity at this address and such entity exists in name only, with the appearance of such entity having been created solely as a receptacle to receive improperly obtained funds.

As the title of the abovementioned Application denotes, Mr Goldberg makes the claim that the sixth defendant was his client. This is not so. Mr Goldberg's clients were the four plaintiffs in the abovementioned proceedings. As previously mentioned, the sixth defendant was an unrepresented party in the said proceedings, which clearly and obviously refutes Mr Goldberg's claim and proves such to be false. Also, a solicitor is barred by statute from acting for both and opposing parties in the same proceedings. As also previously mentioned, Mr Goldberg acted for the four plaintiffs in the matter. The four plaintiffs were Terence Goldberg's clients, with those clients being responsible for the debt they created, and not the sixth defendant.

As you can see from the attached documentation, most of the above has already been laid out in correspondence to the Attorney General's office, as well as to the NSW Police, with all parties turning a distinct blind eye to fraudulent and criminal conduct.

The question has to be raised why all parties have decided to wrongly claim that no wrongdoing has taken place, when the fraud is both clear and obvious. As can be seen, the fraud is quite simple and unsophisticated.

This whole matter smacks of corrupt conduct by a number of government bodies, the NSW Police included. I do not believe that Terence Goldberg is the sole person being protected in this matter.

I seek your urgent response and look forward to receiving such.

Yours faithfully

Symn Waters
20 Newman Street
Newtown NSW 2042

Tel: 9557 2885
Mob: 0435 222 456